

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,557	07/16/2001	Takahiko Ishiguro	Q65441	6024	
5590 10/04/2004 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SAKELARIS	SAKELARIS, SALLY A	
	Pennsylvania Avenue, NW ngton, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/904,557	ISHIGURO ET AL.				
Auticory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sally A Sakelaris	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at the control of the control o	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the dater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: Please See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
1. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Please See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments will not be entered. Specifically, the new recitations of steps a)-d) in claims 13, 14, and 16 would require new search and consideration since these were formally in the art rejected, now cancelled claim 10. While applicant's assert that claims 13-14 and 16 have been amended to place them in independent format, it should be noted that previously rejected subject matter has been placed into the claims and as a result requires further search and consideration and will not be entered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's amendments to claims 13, 14, and 16 include subject matter presently rejected in the final office action of 12/19/2003. While applicant's assert that claims 13-14 and 16 have been amended to place them in independent format, it appears that the subject matter added to the claims is not merely intended to place the claims in independent format but to include the limitations of their presently rejected, claims from which they previously depended. Furthermore, the remaining rejections are also maintained for reasons of record in view of the non-entry of after final amendment.

GARY BENZION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TOUNDLOGY CENTER 1800